

Medford 23 June 1853.

My dear Sir

The ground, taken by Dr Gannett, at Springfield in 1850, in reference to "the Fugitive Slave Law" was, - not "obedience to the Law" but the "non-consideration of the subject."

My motion was, "That the Committee on business be requested to take, into their consideration, ~~of~~ the Fugitive Slave law, and report to the Convention a Resolution, expressing of their opinion as to what it is the duty of Christian Ministers to do in relation to that Law".

The adoption of this motion was opposed by Dr Gannett, very warmly, on this ground especially, that ~~that~~ the subject lies entirely outside of

the circle that circumscribes the  
objects & purposes of these annual-  
al Conventions. That there are chiefly  
the consideration and discussion of  
great Theological questions, and  
matters relating to the practical  
duties & details of our profession;—  
and that we depart entirely from  
our field of duty, in ~~considering~~<sup>bringing up,</sup>  
before the Convention, questions of  
a political nature, which will be  
sure to excite bitter political feel-  
ings and destroy the harmonious &  
Christian Character of the Conven-  
tion.—

To this my reply was that, agreeing  
entirely with Dr. Gannett, as to the  
general objects of these Conventions  
this is a matter that lies entirely  
within the circle that circumscribes  
them; for, first as to "Theological question"  
this is one that, in the logical chain,

lies, but too links off from the first  
great question in Theology; namely,  
"Is there a God?" - For, if there is a  
God, He, as God, must be ~~of~~<sup>is</sup> the  
Supreme Lawgiver of the Universe;  
and, if so, His laws are paramount  
to all others, and all others must  
give place to His. - If, ~~we~~<sup>we</sup> begin  
at the other end of the chain -  
there is no higher law than the laws  
of Congress, then there is no higher  
Law-giving power than Congress,  
and if there is no higher Lawgiver  
than Congress, then there is no God,  
and that is bold, stark naked Atheism.  
Now, as to the practical  
professional duty of ~~some~~<sup>Secondly</sup> of ministers.  
If the laws of God are paramount  
to or higher than the highest law  
of man - it appears to me, that it  
is our part of the duty of our profession  
to say so from our pulpits: i.e. to

say, when the laws of Congress or any other legislation body, conflict with, or are in counter to, the laws of God, they need not be obeyed by Christian men; come what may come, as the consequence of our refusal to obey. - I remarked that I did not ask the opinion of the Committee or of the Convention, for my own guidance, for my mind was made up, as to my own course, but I wished the world to know what ground, in that respect, was taken by the body of the American Clergy, as represented in that Convention. -

That is the difference between Dr. Gannett & myself, at the Springfield convention of 1850. -

(- I would add, tho' not embraced in your inquiry, - that because I took that ground at Springfield, and last

sequently, at the May Ministerial Conference, at Waterson's Chapel in 1857, - Dr. Gannett, had the frankness to say to me distinctly, on the day of the July visitation of the Cambridge Theological School, - that he should not care to exchange, pulpit, with me again. -

(George E. Ellis)

Brother Ellis, of Charlestown, who heard him say this, remarked, "Why, brother Gannett, you would sit surrender a fugitive slave, who should come to your house and ask protection!" - Ans. "I don't know, brother Ellis. My friends and parishioners (or my friends, of my parish) who know much more than you and I do of the state of feeling at the South, tell me that they have no doubt, that, if that law is not enforced, the Union will be dissolved." I made

no reply. I was made so heart  
sick that I could say nothing; - to  
find that the only one, of my Boston  
brethren that had sat upon my ec-  
clesiastical Council, supported by their  
own congregation, that had ~~ever~~ <sup>of</sup> ever  
offered me an exchange, after  
having unanimously come to the  
Result that they saw no reason  
for recommending a dissolution of  
my connection with my church in Boston. -  
- That he should at last decline  
making an exchange with me, that  
he had himself proffered me, and  
and that, because I had taken the  
ground that the laws of God are  
supreme and must override all  
human laws that oppose them; -  
and that, in my opinion it was the duty  
of a minister of Christ to say so; -  
- made me so sick at heart - that  
I spewed up my morsels. I could not.

It seemed as if my last old friend  
had failed me, and turned from  
me, because of the very thing for  
which he should have loved me  
the better, and hung the closer to  
me.—

Excuse me, I pray you, Brother  
May, for bringing up before you this  
painful account of my personal ex-  
perience of the power of cotton &  
Commerce over the Consciences and  
consciences of devoted, earnest  
and excellent ministers of Jesus  
Christ.—

Well—"Neus omittis horis  
sapit." We,—all of us have our  
weak points.—I am not except from  
mine, & there are temptations that have  
been too strong for me, and that may  
be again.— May God have mercy  
on us all.—

Very truly yrs A. Pierpont

